

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,135	02/06/2004	Lukas Eisermann	PC806.00/31132.121	8402	
46333 7590 07/13/2010 Medironic			EXAMINER		
Attn: Noreen	C. Johnson, IP Legal D	COMSTOCK, DAVID C			
2600 Sofamo Memphis, TN	r Danek Drive I 38132		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/13/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/774,135	EISERMANN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DAVID COMSTOCK	3733		

	DAVID COMSTOCK	3733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL		•					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained unterf 37 CPR 1.13(e). The date- have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 57 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying th					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
7. So for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 37.40. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.13.0, 37.40. Claim(s) Withdrawn from consideration: 11 and 12.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/TODD E. MANAHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734	/David Comstock/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that a prima facie case of obviousness is not set forth sufficient for the components of the implant of Erickson et al. to be directed in opped directions. However, the components are separate structures that are inherently capable of being used in any desired manner. They are simply stacked and can be stacked in any desired orientation as the concave/convex interface does not change. It is noted that claims directed to the method were not rejected because Examiner acknowledges that the process of orienting the components in opposite directions so as to accommodate a sponylosed relationship is not explicitly recited; nevertheless, with regard to the claims directed to an apparatus, there is nothing to prevent the device of Erickson et al. from being used as claimed. The rejection is maintained.